

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,793	06/01/2001	Yuji Kubo	50212-246	8377
20277 7	590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			CURS, NA	THAN M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/870,793	KUBO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan Curs	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice unit 	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishakawa et al. (US Patent No. 5909297) in view of Ngo et al. ("Optical dispersion eigencompensators for high-speed long-haul IM/DD lightwave systems: computer simulation"; Ngo et al.; Lightwave Technology, Journal of, Vol. 14, Issue 10, Oct 1996; Pages 2097-2107).

Regarding claim 1, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system comprising: an optical fiber transmission line disposed between a transmitter for transmitting a signal of a predetermined wavelength and a receiver for receiving the signal, through which the signal propagates from the transmitter toward the receiver (fig. 29-35 and col. 20, lines 34-63); a dispersion compensating system for compensating for both of chromatic dispersion and dispersion slope in said optical fiber transmission line (fig. 35 and col. 20, lines 61-63), where chromatic dispersion is the amount of dispersion at a wavelength as defined by the inherent dispersion slope of an optical fiber transmission line; a measuring system for monitoring variation in temperature of said optical fiber transmission line or variation of chromatic dispersion in said optical fiber transmission line (col. 20, lines 1-33); and a control system for controlling a dispersion compensation amount of said dispersion compensator, based on the result of measurement by said measuring system (col. 20, lines 44-63). Ishakawa et al. disclose that the dispersion compensator as a mach zehnder PLC dispersion compensator (col. 16, lines

Art Unit: 2633

1-12), but do not disclose that the fiber transmission line is comprised of a single-mode optical fiber or a non-zero dispersion-shifted optical fiber. Ngo et al. disclose a tunable mach-zehnder-based PLC dispersion compensator for use over SMF fiber (fig. 3 and page 2101, section III, col. 1, line 1 to col. 2, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that SMF fiber could be used in the system of Ishakawa et al., since the dispersion compensation means suggested by Ishakawa et al. can be used for SMF fiber, as taught by Ngo et al. Further, it is well known in the art that SMF fiber allows transmission of high bit rate signals over long distances, and that SMF is already extensively installed in North America.

Regarding claim 2, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 1, wherein said measuring system includes a temperature sensor for detecting the temperature of said optical fiber transmission line (col. 20, lines 11-26).

Regarding claim 3, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 1, wherein said measuring system includes a dummy fiber transmission line disposed along said optical fiber transmission line, a light source for emitting monitor light of a predetermined wavelength into the dummy fiber transmission line, and a photodetector for receiving the monitor light having propagated through the dummy fiber transmission line (col. 20, lines 11-26), and wherein said control system calculates a variation amount of chromatic dispersion in said optical fiber transmission line, based on the result of detection of light quantity by the photodetector (col. 20, lines 27-33).

Regarding claim 4, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 2, wherein said temperature sensor includes an optical fiber temperature sensor disposed along said optical fiber transmission line (col. 20, lines 11-26).

Regarding claim 5, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 1, wherein said dispersion compensating system shifts the wavelength of the signal from said transmitter to the longer wavelength side or to the shorter wavelength side, thereby compensating for the variation of chromatic dispersion due to variation in temperature of said optical fiber transmission line (col. 17, line 10-62 and col. 20, lines 11-30).

Regarding claim 6, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 1, wherein said dispersion compensating system includes a dispersion compensator disposed on a signal light path from said transmitter to said receiver (col. 20, lines 44-63), and wherein said control system controls the dispersion compensation amount of said dispersion compensator according to a variation amount of chromatic dispersion in said optical fiber transmission line (col. 20, lines 27-33).

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishakawa et al. (US Patent No. 5909297) in view of Ngo et al. ("Optical dispersion eigencompensators for high-speed long-haul IM/DD lightwave systems: computer simulation"; Ngo et al.; Lightwave Technology, Journal of, Vol: 14, Issue 10, Oct 1996; Pages 2097-2107) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Danziger et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0006257).

Regarding claim 7, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 6, but do not disclose that said dispersion compensator includes a dispersion compensating optical fiber. Danziger et al. disclose a controllable dispersion compensator including dispersion compensating optical fiber (abstract and paragraphs 0010-0015). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the DCF-

Art Unit: 2633

based variable dispersion compensator of Danziger et al., in the system of Ishakawa et al., in order to control the amount of dispersion compensation in the system.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishakawa et al. (US Patent No. 5909297) in view of Ngo et al. ("Optical dispersion eigencompensators for high-speed long-haul IM/DD lightwave systems: computer simulation"; Ngo et al.; Lightwave Technology, Journal of, Vol: 14, Issue 10, Oct 1996; Pages 2097-2107) as applied to claims 1-6 above, and further in view of Eggleton et al. "Electrically tunable power efficient dispersion compensating fiber Bragg grating"; Eggleton et al., Photonics Technology Letters, IEEE, Vol: 11, Issue: 7, July 1999, Pages: 854-856).

Regarding claim 8, Ishakawa et al. disclose an optical transmission system according to claim 6, but do not disclose that said dispersion compensator includes an optical fiber grating. Eggleton et al. disclose a tunable dispersion compensator including an optical fiber grating (abstract, and page 856, Conclusion paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to control the amount of dispersion compensation in the system of Ishakawa et al., using the grating-based tunable dispersion compensator because of it's power efficiency and small size, as taught by Eggleton et al.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4 February 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant again argues that Ishikawa et al. do not disclose or suggest the concept of forming an optical transmission system comprising a dispersion compensation system which compensates for both of chromatic dispersion and dispersion slope. The applicant further

Art Unit: 2633

argues that the notion of providing a dispersion compensating system for compensating dispersion slope is alien to Ishikawa et al! However, the Examiner responds again that the inherent dispersion slope of an optical fiber transmission line defines the value of chromatic dispersion at any given wavelength. The definition of "chromatic dispersion slope" provided by the applicant affirms this, defining chromatic dispersion slope as the derivative of chromatic dispersion as a function of wavelength. Chromatic dispersion slope is an inseparable mathematical relation to chromatic dispersion as a function of wavelength. Further, the applicant's specification discloses that it is common practice to use a dispersion compensator (e.g. dispersion compensating fiber) to compensate for dispersion and thus also dispersion slope (specification page 2, lines 16-25 and page 13, line 22 to page 14, line 10), and the inherent relationship between chromatic dispersion and chromatic dispersion slope is further seen in applicant's figure 3, as previously cited by the Examiner. Further, Ishikawa et al. discloses the same fundamental teaching, that chromatic dispersion is the amount of dispersion at a wavelength as defined by the inherent dispersion slope of an optical fiber transmission line (col. 20, lines 5-10, where the term "zero dispersion wavelength" means the wavelength at which chromatic dispersion is zero, thus revealing chromatic dispersion as a function of wavelength. This derivative of this dispersion function of wavelength is the dispersion slope).

Regarding the applicant's argument that Ishikawa et al. col. 20, lines 61-63 does not disclose a dispersion compensating system for compensating both chromatic dispersion and dispersion slope, the Examiner first asserts that the accurate representation of the Examiner's citation is "fig. 35 and col. 20, lines 61-63". Fig. 35 shows dispersion compensators, elements 101. These elements 101 are initially defined in Ishikawa et al. col. 15, line 56 to col. 16, line 12; however as instructed for the figures by Ishikawa et al., same numerals indicate same constituent parts. Therefore the elements 101 of fig. 35 and referred to in col. 20, lines 61-62,

are the same elements 101 as defined in col. 15, line 56 to col. 16, line 12, where Ishikawa et al. teach that element 101 is variable dispersion compensator capable of varying the amount of dispersion of a transmission line. The dispersion of a transmission line taught by Ishikawa et al. is chromatic dispersion as function of wavelength, where the derivative of chromatic dispersion as a function of wavelength is dispersion slope. Therefore, elements 101 applicable in fig. 35 compensate for the chromatic dispersion and dispersion slope of the transmission line.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N. Curs whose telephone number is (571) 272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/870,793

Art Unit: 2633

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

Page 8

the receptionist whose telephone number is (800) 786-9199.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800